

MR2839691 (2012g:68158) 68Q70 03D05 05C63

Blumensath, Achim (D-DARM)

Recognisability for algebras of infinite trees. (English summary)

Theoret. Comput. Sci. **412** (2011), no. 29, 3463–3486.

From the introduction: “This paper provides a first step in the development of an algebraic theory for recognisability of classes of infinite trees. Inspired by the work of Z. Ésik and P. Weil [Theoret. Comput. Sci. **340** (2005), no. 2, 291–321; [MR2150756 \(2006c:68129\)](#)] on preclones, we define suitable algebras of infinite trees called ω -hyperclones. We can show that every regular language is recognised by some homomorphism into such a (finitary, path-continuous) ω -hyperclone.

“The proof is performed in two steps. First, we define a special class of ω -hyperclones called *path-hyperclones* that directly correspond to tree automata. The problem with path-hyperclones is that their definition is not axiomatic, but syntactic. That is, given an arbitrary ω -hyperclone we cannot tell from the definition whether or not this ω -hyperclone is isomorphic to some path-hyperclone.

“In the second step, we therefore give an algebraic characterisation of the main properties of such path-hyperclones (they are path-continuous). Using this result we can transfer our characterisation from path-hyperclones to path-continuous ω -hyperclones.

“Finally, we prove that the class of path-continuous ω -hyperclones is closed under products and a certain power-set operation. From these results we can deduce a second (equivalent) version of our main theorem: recognisability by finitary path-continuous ω -hyperclones is the same as definability in monadic second-order logic.”

From the conclusions: “[W]e do not believe that the framework we have set up is in its final form. The algebras we use (path-continuous ω -hyperclones) are far too complicated. In particular,

- (1) we use infinitely many sorts,
- (2) there are too many operations . . . , and
- (3) the definition of path-continuity is too complex.

“Finally, a long-term goal would be the development of a theory of pseudo-varieties of path-continuous ω -hyperclones and a corresponding structure theory. But, before embarking upon such a project, it seems advisable to wait until we have found the ‘right’ definition for our algebras.”

Saeed Salehi

References

1. P. Baltazar, M -solid varieties of languages, *Acta Cynbernetica* 18 (2008) 719–731. [MR2474603 \(2009m:68163\)](#)
2. M. Bojańczyk, T. Idziaszek, Algebra for infinite forests with an application to the temporal logic EF, in: Proc. 20th International Conference on Concurrency Theory, CONCUR, in: LNCS, vol. 5710, 2009, pp. 131–145. [MR2556882 \(2010k:03028\)](#)
3. M. Bojańczyk, I. Walukiewicz, Forest algebras, in: J. Flum, E. Grädel, T. Wilke (Eds.), *Logic and Automata: History and Perspectives*, Amsterdam University Press, 2007, pp. 107–132. [MR2549260 \(2010j:03001\)](#)
4. Z. Ésik, A variety theorem for trees and theories, *Publicationes Mathematicae Debrecen* 54 (1999) 711–762. [MR1709922 \(2000h:68115\)](#)
5. Z. Ésik, Characterizing CTL-like logics on finite trees, *Theoretical Computer Science* 356 (2006) 136–152. [MR2217833 \(2007b:03023\)](#)

6. Z. Ésik, P. Weil, Algebraic recognizability of regular tree languages, *Theoretical Computer Science* 340 (2005) 291–321. [MR2150756 \(2006c:68129\)](#)
7. Z. Ésik, P. Weil, Algebraic characterization of logically defined tree languages, *International Journal of Algebra and Computation* 20 (2010) 195–239. [MR2646749 \(2011e:68103\)](#)
8. D. Perrin, J.-E. Pin, *Infinite Words—Automata, Semigroups, Logic and Games*, Elsevier, 2004.
9. T. Petkovic, S. Salehi, Positive varieties of tree languages, *Theoretical Computer Science* 347 (2005) 1–35. [MR2184827 \(2006k:68115\)](#)
10. S. Salehi, M. Steinby, Tree algebras and varieties of tree languages, *Theoretical Computer Science* 377 (2007) 1–24. [MR2323382 \(2008c:68054\)](#)
11. M. Steinby, A theory of tree language varieties, in: *Tree Automata and Languages*, North-Holland, 1992, pp. 57–82. [MR1196732 \(94a:68076\)](#)
12. M. Steinby, General varieties of tree languages, *Theoretical Computer Science* 205 (1998) 1–43. [MR1638624 \(99m:68111\)](#)
13. T. Wilke, Algebras for classifying regular tree languages and an application to frontier testability, in: *Proc. 20th Int. Colloquium on Automata, Languages and Programming, ICALP 1993*, in: LNCS, vol. 700, 1993, pp. 347–358.

Note: This list reflects references listed in the original paper as accurately as possible with no attempt to correct errors.

© Copyright American Mathematical Society 2012, 2014